

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,902	05/12/2006	Bertram Sugg	R.306628	3243
2119 RONALD E. G	7590 12/11/200 REIGG	EXAMINER		
GREIGG & GREIGG P.L.L.C. 1423 POWHATAN STREET, UNIT ONE ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			BUDD, MARK OSBORNE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2834	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/578,902	SUGG, BERTRAM			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mark Budd	2834			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES as a soint of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a) <u></u> 3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	•			
Dispositi —	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>6-17</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>6,9,12 and 15</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	·			
Applicati	on Papers					
10)⊠ `	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 May 2006</u> is/are: a)[Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 6-12-06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	nte			

Application/Control Number: 10/578,902

Art Unit: 2834

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 6 and 9 or rejected under 35 USC 102 (b) as being anticipated by Inoue. Noting especially figures 1-6, Inoue teaches a multilayered structure of piezoelectric layers having a piezoelectricly active region which includes griping electrodes, and an inactive region at each end which are not electroded. Each end portion #3, #13 has a same thickness. Because these regions are described as being made of the same ceramic as the piezoelectricly active region, what are on polarized, they inherently have a dielectric and constant value of approximately only one half of the dielectric constant of polarized ceramic (official notice taken - also see applicants in specification [0029].

Claims 12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inoue in view of Kawai. Inoue as described above teaches the piezoelectric actuator, but does not explicitly state that the end areas can be polarized. However, Kawai (see column 5, lines who's 62-65) can be polarized or left unpolarized, at the discretion of the designer. They also can be comprised of a single layer or multiple lawyers as deemed appropriate. Thus the choice is between providing either polarized or unpolarized end caps would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art from Kawai's disclosure. Extending this knowledge from one specific piezoelectric layered structure to another would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill of the art. One

Art Unit: 2834

could polarize only some of a multilayered and piece in order to adjust the total capacitance of the stack is taught, for example, by Kawai at column 7 lines 3-27.

Claims 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 16 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The examiner is aware of the international search report which did not find the subject matter of similar claims to be allowable, citing the reference to Hammer. Hemmer merely discloses that piezoelectric material can be duped with metal ions. He claims call for only the end (inactive) elements to be doped. While the substitution of known, suitable materials has long been held to be within the skill expected of the route near, the examiner can find no motivation for using a doped ceramic in only the and portions.

Further cited of interest are Sugishita, Tomita, Sato, Yasuda, Takahashi, Inogia, Furukawa, Bindig hand Yasugi.

-The

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Budd whose telephone number is 571-272-2019. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6 a.m. to 4 p.m.

Application/Control Number: 10/578,902 Page 4

Art Unit: 2834

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg, can be reached on 571-272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark — Budd Primary Examiner Art Unit 2834